

# Positivity of L-functions and “Completion of square”

Wei Zhang

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Bristol, June 4th, 2018

# Outline

- 1 Riemann hypothesis
- 2 Positivity of L-functions
- 3 Completion of square
- 4 Positivity on surfaces

# Riemann Hypothesis (RH)

Riemann zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots \\ &= \prod_{p, \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1\end{aligned}$$

Analytic continuation and Functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \Lambda(1-s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

Conjecture

*The non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the line*

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

# Riemann Hypothesis (RH)

Riemann zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots \\ &= \prod_{p, \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1\end{aligned}$$

Analytic continuation and Functional equation

$$\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \Lambda(1-s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

## Conjecture

*The non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the line*

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

## Equivalent statements of RH

- Let

$$\pi(X) = \#\{\text{primes numbers } p \leq X\}.$$

Then

$$RH \iff \left| \pi(X) - \int_2^X \frac{dt}{\log t} \right| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

- Let

$$\theta(X) = \sum_{p < X} \log p.$$

Then

$$RH \iff |\theta(X) - X| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

## Equivalent statements of RH

- Let

$$\pi(X) = \#\{\text{primes numbers } p \leq X\}.$$

Then

$$RH \iff \left| \pi(X) - \int_2^X \frac{dt}{\log t} \right| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

- Let

$$\theta(X) = \sum_{p < X} \log p.$$

Then

$$RH \iff |\theta(X) - X| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

# RH for a curve $C$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$

## Theorem (Weil)

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (1 + q^n)| \leq 2g_C \sqrt{q^n}.$$

## Remark

To compare with the case for  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$RH \iff |\theta(X) - X| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

# RH for a curve $C$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$

## Theorem (Weil)

$$|\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) - (1 + q^n)| \leq 2g_C \sqrt{q^n}.$$

## Remark

To compare with the case for  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$RH \iff |\theta(X) - X| = O(X^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$



## More L-functions

### Example

To an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hasse–Weil associates an L-function

$$L(s, E) = \prod_{p: \text{good}} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}}$$

where, for good  $p$

$$a_p = p + 1 - E(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

# Grand Riemann Hypothesis (GRH)

There are many other L-functions, e.g., those attached to automorphic representations on  $GL(N)$ .

## Conjecture

*Nontrivial zeros of all automorphic L-functions lie on the line*

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- 1 Riemann hypothesis
- 2 Positivity of L-functions
- 3 Completion of square
- 4 Positivity on surfaces

## A corollary to Riemann Hypothesis

Suppose that an  $L$ -function has the following properties

- $L(s)$  is an entire function.
- $L(s) \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $s$  is real.
- $L(s) > 0$  as  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have

$$GRH \implies L(1/2) \geq 0,$$

or more generally, the first non-zero coefficient (i.e., the leading term) in the Taylor expansion is positive.

## A corollary to Riemann Hypothesis

Suppose that an  $L$ -function has the following properties

- $L(s)$  is an entire function.
- $L(s) \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $s$  is real.
- $L(s) > 0$  as  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have

$$GRH \implies L(1/2) \geq 0,$$

or more generally, the first non-zero coefficient (i.e., the leading term) in the Taylor expansion is positive.

## Superpositivity: non-leading terms

Lemma (Stark–Zagier (1980), Yun–Zhang)

Let  $\pi$  be a *self-dual* cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_n$ . Normalize its functional equation such that

$$L(s, \pi) = \pm L(1 - s, \pi).$$

Then

$$GRH \implies L^{(r)}(1/2, \pi) \geq 0, \text{ for all } r \geq 0.$$

Here

$$L(s, \pi) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} L^{(r)}(1/2, \pi) \frac{(s - 1/2)^r}{r!}.$$

## The idea of proof

Hadamard product expansion (and the functional equation and the self-duality)

$$L(s + 1/2) = c \cdot s^r \prod_{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{s^2}{\rho^2} \right),$$

- the product runs over all the zeros  $\frac{1}{2} \pm \rho$  of  $L(s)$  such that  $\rho \neq 0$ ,
- $r = \text{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s)$ , and  $c > 0$  is the leading Taylor coefficient.

Now note that

$$GRH \iff \text{Re}(\rho) = 0.$$

## Super-positivity of L-functions

- Super-positivity does not imply GRH. But it implies the non-existence of Landau–Siegel zero.
- Known for Riemann zeta function (Polya, 1927). Sarnak introduced a notion of “positive definite” for L-functions. If an L-function is positive definite then it is “super-positive”. Not known if there are infinitely many positive definite L-functions.
- Goldfeld–Huang: there are infinitely many “super-positive” automorphic L-functions for  $GL(2)$ .



## Super-positivity of L-functions

- Super-positivity does not imply GRH. But it implies the non-existence of Landau–Siegel zero.
- Known for Riemann zeta function (Polya, 1927). Sarnak introduced a notion of “positive definite” for L-functions. If an L-function is positive definite then it is “super-positive”. Not known if there are infinitely many positive definite L-functions.
- Goldfeld–Huang: there are infinitely many “super-positive” automorphic L-functions for  $GL(2)$ .

## Super-positivity of L-functions

- Super-positivity does not imply GRH. But it implies the non-existence of Landau–Siegel zero.
- Known for Riemann zeta function (Polya, 1927). Sarnak introduced a notion of “positive definite” for L-functions. If an L-function is positive definite then it is “super-positive”. Not known if there are infinitely many positive definite L-functions.
- Goldfeld–Huang: there are infinitely many “super-positive” automorphic L-functions for  $GL(2)$ .

- 1 Riemann hypothesis
- 2 Positivity of L-functions
- 3 Completion of square
- 4 Positivity on surfaces

# Completion of square

The super-positivity suggests us

to express  $L^{(r)}(1/2, \pi)$  in terms of some “squared quantity”.

We explain two such examples

- (Gross–Zagier, Yuan–Zhang–Zhang) The first derivative

$$L'(1/2, \pi) \geq 0$$

if  $\pi$  appears in the cohomology of Shimura curve over a (totally real) number field  $F$ .

- (Yun–Zhang) “Higher Gross–Zagier formula” over function fields.

# Completion of square

The super-positivity suggests us

to express  $L^{(r)}(1/2, \pi)$  in terms of some “squared quantity”.

We explain two such examples

- (Gross–Zagier, Yuan–Zhang–Zhang) The first derivative

$$L'(1/2, \pi) \geq 0$$

if  $\pi$  appears in the cohomology of Shimura curve over a (totally real) number field  $F$ .

- (Yun–Zhang) “Higher Gross–Zagier formula” over function fields.

# Gross–Zagier formula

## Theorem

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . There is a point  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$L'(1, E) = c \cdot \langle P, P \rangle,$$

where the RHS is the Néron–Tate height pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E(\mathbb{Q}) \times E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and  $c$  is a *positive* number.

The point  $P$  in the above formula is the so-called Heegner point. The Néron–Tate height pairing is known to be *positive definite*. Hence

$$L'(1, E) \geq 0.$$

# Gross–Zagier formula

## Theorem

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . There is a point  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$L'(1, E) = c \cdot \langle P, P \rangle,$$

where the RHS is the Néron–Tate height pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E(\mathbb{Q}) \times E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and  $c$  is a *positive* number.

The point  $P$  in the above formula is the so-called Heegner point. The Néron–Tate height pairing is known to be *positive definite*. Hence

$$L'(1, E) \geq 0.$$

## Heegner points on modular curve

- The modular curve  $X_0(N)$  is moduli space classifying elliptic curves with auxiliary structure:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0(N) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q} & \end{array}$$

- The Heegner points are represented by those special elliptic curves with complex multiplication.



# Drinfeld Shtukas

Now fix  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $X/k$  a smooth geometrically connected curve. We consider the moduli stack of Drinfeld Shtukas of rank  $n$ . For a  $k$ -scheme  $S$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Sht}_{\mathrm{GL}_n, X}^r(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{vector bundles } \mathcal{E} \text{ of rank } n \text{ on } X \times S \\ \text{with minimal modification } \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{Frob}_S)^* \mathcal{E} \\ \text{at } r\text{-marked points } x_i : S \rightarrow X, 1 \leq i \leq r \end{array} \right\}$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Sht}_{\mathrm{GL}_n, X}^r \\ \downarrow \\ X^r = \underbrace{X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \cdots \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} X}_{r \text{ times}} \end{array}$$

## Higher Gross–Zagier formula

### Theorem (Yun–Zhang)

Fix  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $E$  be a semistable elliptic curve over  $k(X)$ . Then there is an algebraic cycle (the Heegner–Drinfeld cycle) on  $\text{Sht}_{\text{PGL}_2, X}^r$  such that the  $E$ -isotypic component of the cycle class  $Z_{r, E}$  satisfies

$$L^{(r)}(1, E) = c \cdot \left( Z_{r, E}, Z_{r, E} \right),$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the intersection pairing.

The Heegner–Drinfeld cycle is defined analogous to Heegner point on modular curves, by imposing “complex multiplication”: those vector bundles coming from a double covering of the curve  $X$ .

# Higher Gross–Zagier formula

## Theorem (Yun–Zhang)

Fix  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $E$  be a semistable elliptic curve over  $k(X)$ . Then there is an algebraic cycle (the Heegner–Drinfeld cycle) on  $\text{Sht}_{\text{PGL}_2, X}^r$  such that the  $E$ -isotypic component of the cycle class  $Z_{r, E}$  satisfies

$$L^{(r)}(1, E) = c \cdot (Z_{r, E}, Z_{r, E}),$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the intersection pairing.

The Heegner–Drinfeld cycle is defined analogous to Heegner point on modular curves, by imposing “complex multiplication”: those vector bundles coming from a double covering of the curve  $X$ .

## Comparison with the number field case

In the number field case, the analogous spaces only exist when  $r \leq 1$ .

- 1 When  $r = 0$ , this is the double-coset space

$$G(F) \backslash (G(\mathbb{A})/K).$$

- 2 When  $r = 1$ , the analogous space is Shimura variety

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sh}_G & & \text{Sht}_{\text{GL}_n, r} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} & & X^r = \underbrace{X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \cdots \times_{\text{Spec } k} X}_{r \text{ times}}
 \end{array}$$

In the function field case, we need not restrict ourselves to the leading coefficient in the Taylor expansion of the  $L$ -functions.

### Question

*In the number field case, should there be any geometric interpretation of the **non-leading** coefficients, for example,  $L^{(r)}(1, E)$  when  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?*

Recall that the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer gives a geometric interpretation of the **leading** term

$$L^{(r)}(1, E) = c \cdot \text{Reg}_E \cdot \text{III}_E.$$

In the function field case, we need not restrict ourselves to the leading coefficient in the Taylor expansion of the  $L$ -functions.

### Question

*In the number field case, should there be any geometric interpretation of the **non-leading** coefficients, for example,  $L^{(r)}(1, E)$  when  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?*

Recall that the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer gives a geometric interpretation of the **leading** term

$$L^{(r)}(1, E) = c \cdot \text{Reg}_E \cdot \text{III}_E.$$

- 1 Riemann hypothesis
- 2 Positivity of L-functions
- 3 Completion of square
- 4 Positivity on surfaces

## Intersection pairing on an algebraic surface

$S$ : smooth projective surface over a field  $k$ .

$\text{Div}(S)$ : free abelian group of divisors on  $S$ .

There is an intersection pairing

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Div}(S) \times \text{Div}(S) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (C, D) &\mapsto C \cdot D\end{aligned}$$



## Hodge index theorem for a surface

### Theorem

*Let  $S$  be a surface over a field  $k$ .*

*If  $H$  is an ample divisor, and  $D \cdot H = 0$ , then*

$$D \cdot D \leq 0.$$

$\text{NS}(S) = \text{Div}(S)$  modulo numerical equivalence. Then the index of the intersection matrices of a basis of  $\text{NS}(S)$  is

$$(+, -, -, -, \dots).$$

## Hodge index theorem for a surface

### Theorem

Let  $S$  be a surface over a field  $k$ .

If  $H$  is an ample divisor, and  $D \cdot H = 0$ , then

$$D \cdot D \leq 0.$$

$\text{NS}(S) = \text{Div}(S)$  modulo numerical equivalence. Then the index of the intersection matrices of a basis of  $\text{NS}(S)$  is

$$(+, -, -, -, \dots).$$

## Weil's proof of RH for curves

Consider a curve  $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ , and the surface

$$S = X \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{F}_q} X$$

Compute the intersection matrix of 4 divisors

$$pt \times X, \quad X \times pt, \quad \Delta, \quad F$$

$F$  is the graph of the Frobenius

$$\text{Frob}_q : X \rightarrow X.$$

## Weil's proof of RH for curves

Consider a curve  $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ , and the surface

$$S = X \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{F}_q} X$$

Compute the intersection matrix of 4 divisors

$$pt \times X, \quad X \times pt, \quad \Delta, \quad F$$

$F$  is the graph of the Frobenius

$$\text{Frob}_q : X \rightarrow X.$$

## Weil's proof of RH for curves

Denote  $N = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The intersection matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & q \\ 1 & 1 & 2-2g & N \\ 1 & q & N & q(2-2g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = pt \times X + X \times pt \quad \text{ample}$$

$$\implies \det(T) = (N - (1 + q))^2 - 4qq^2 \leq 0$$

$$\implies |N - (1 + q)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

## Weil's proof of RH for curves

Denote  $N = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The intersection matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & q \\ 1 & 1 & 2 - 2g & N \\ 1 & q & N & q(2 - 2g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = pt \times X + X \times pt \quad \text{ample}$$

$$\implies \det(T) = (N - (1 + q))^2 - 4qg^2 \leq 0$$

$$\implies |N - (1 + q)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

## Weil's proof of RH for curves

Denote  $N = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The intersection matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & q \\ 1 & 1 & 2 - 2g & N \\ 1 & q & N & q(2 - 2g) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = pt \times X + X \times pt \quad \text{ample}$$

$$\implies \det(T) = (N - (1 + q))^2 - 4qg^2 \leq 0$$

$$\implies |N - (1 + q)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q}.$$

# Arithmetic surface

An arithmetic surface  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  is the data of a relative curve  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  with a metric on the Riemann surface  $X(\mathbb{C})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{X}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec}\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}}
 \end{array}$$

Arakelov defined an intersection pairing on an arithmetic surface.



# Arithmetic surface

An arithmetic surface  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$  is the data of a relative curve  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  with a metric on the Riemann surface  $X(\mathbb{C})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{X}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec}\mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}}
 \end{array}$$

Arakelov defined an intersection pairing on an arithmetic surface.

## Hodge index theorem for arithmetic surface

### Theorem (Faltings, Hriljac)

Let  $\bar{X}$  be an arithmetic surface.

If  $\bar{H}$  is an ample divisor, and  $\bar{D} \cdot \bar{H} = 0$ , then

$$\bar{D} \cdot \bar{D} \leq 0.$$

### Remark

- This positivity together with Gross–Zagier formula implies  $L'(1, E) \geq 0$ . (in addition to RH over finite fields)
- Comparison the proof of  $L'(1, E) \geq 0$  with the proof of RH for curve over a finite field. The geometric ingredients in them seem to be the best evidence to RH.

## Hodge index theorem for arithmetic surface

### Theorem (Faltings, Hriljac)

Let  $\bar{X}$  be an arithmetic surface.

If  $\bar{H}$  is an ample divisor, and  $\bar{D} \cdot \bar{H} = 0$ , then

$$\bar{D} \cdot \bar{D} \leq 0.$$

### Remark

- This positivity together with Gross–Zagier formula implies  $L'(1, E) \geq 0$ . (in addition to RH over finite fields)
- Comparison the proof of  $L'(1, E) \geq 0$  with the proof of RH for curve over a finite field. The geometric ingredients in them seem to be the best evidence to RH.

## Yuan's proof of Hodge index for arithmetic surfaces

Yuan: an arithmetic line bundle  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} \mapsto$  a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Lemma (Brunn–Minkowski)

Let  $A, B$  be two compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $A + B$  denote the Minkowski sum

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then

$$\text{vol}(A + B)^{1/n} \geq \text{vol}(A)^{1/n} + \text{vol}(B)^{1/n}.$$

# Surfaces

- The first kind is a surface over a field  $k$ , e.g.  $C \times C$  for a curve  $C$  over  $k$ .
- The second kind is arithmetic surface: its base is an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and its fibers are curves over fields.
- The third kind is unknown: " $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{F}_1} \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ "? It should be a fibration with its base an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and with fibers also being arithmetic curves.
- An "arithmetic surface" seems to be an "arithmetic average" of the first and the third kinds.

# Surfaces

- The first kind is a surface over a field  $k$ , e.g.  $C \times C$  for a curve  $C$  over  $k$ .
- The second kind is arithmetic surface: its base is an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and its fibers are curves over fields.
- The third kind is unknown: " $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{F}_1} \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ "? It should be a fibration with its base an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and with fibers also being arithmetic curves.
- An "arithmetic surface" seems to be an "arithmetic average" of the first and the third kinds.

# Surfaces

- The first kind is a surface over a field  $k$ , e.g.  $C \times C$  for a curve  $C$  over  $k$ .
- The second kind is arithmetic surface: its base is an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and its fibers are curves over fields.
- The third kind is unknown: " $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathbb{F}_1} \text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ "? It should be a fibration with its base an arithmetic curve  $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$  and with fibers also being arithmetic curves.
- An "arithmetic surface" seems to be an "arithmetic average" of the first and the third kinds.

## The third example: ABC and Landau–Siegel zeros

### Definition

A Landau–Siegel zero is a zero  $\beta$  of  $L(s, \chi_d)$  (for the quadratic character  $\chi_d$  associated to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$ ) lying in

$$[1 - c/\log |d|, 1]$$

for a small  $c > 0$ .

### Theorem (Granville–Stark)

*A uniform (over number fields) version of ABC conjecture implies that there are no Siegel zeros for  $L(s, \chi_{-d})$  with  $-d < 0$ .*



## The third example: ABC and Landau–Siegel zeros

### Definition

A Landau–Siegel zero is a zero  $\beta$  of  $L(s, \chi_d)$  (for the quadratic character  $\chi_d$  associated to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$ ) lying in

$$[1 - c/\log |d|, 1]$$

for a small  $c > 0$ .

### Theorem (Granville–Stark)

*A uniform (over number fields) version of ABC conjecture implies that there are no Siegel zeros for  $L(s, \chi_{-d})$  with  $-d < 0$ .*

## Faltings heights of CM abelian varieties

The key to the theorem of Granville–Stark is Kronecker limit formula for an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-d}]$ . This formula relates the Faltings height of an elliptic curve  $E_d$  with complex multiplication by  $O_K$  to L-function

$$h_{\text{Fal}}(E_d) = -\frac{L'(0, \chi_{-d})}{L(0, \chi_{-d})} - \frac{1}{2} \log |d|.$$

Colmez conjecture generalizes the identity to CM abelian varieties. An averaged version is recently proved by Yuan–S. Zhang and by Andreatta–Goren–Howard–Pera.

## Faltings heights of CM abelian varieties

The key to the theorem of Granville–Stark is Kronecker limit formula for an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-d}]$ . This formula relates the Faltings height of an elliptic curve  $E_d$  with complex multiplication by  $O_K$  to L-function

$$h_{\text{Fal}}(E_d) = -\frac{L'(0, \chi_{-d})}{L(0, \chi_{-d})} - \frac{1}{2} \log |d|.$$

Colmez conjecture generalizes the identity to CM abelian varieties. An averaged version is recently proved by Yuan–S. Zhang and by Andreatta–Goren–Howard–Pera.

**Thank you!**

# Positivity of L-functions and “Completion of square”

Wei Zhang

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Bristol, June 4th, 2018