

Ergodicity of the Gibbs measure for the three dimensional stochastic cubic beam equation with damping

Leonardo Tolomeo

University of Edinburgh

11 January 2018

Stochastic Damped Beam Equation

We want to study the global behaviour of the equation

$$(SDNLB) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + \partial_t u + (1 + \Delta^2)u + u^3 = \sqrt{2}\xi \\ u(0) = u_0 \\ \partial_t u(0) = u_1 \end{cases}$$

posed on \mathbb{T}^3 , where ξ is the space-time white noise.

Vectorial formulation:

$$(SDNLB) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ -(1 + \Delta^2)u - u^3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -u_t + \sqrt{2}\xi \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} (0) = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

The space-time white noise is a distribution-valued random process such that for every test function ϕ , $\langle \xi, \phi \rangle$ is a Gaussian variable,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \xi, \phi \rangle = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}|\langle \xi, \phi \rangle|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3} |\phi(t, x)|^2 dt dx.$$

From these properties,

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} e^{inx} dW_n(t),$$

where W_n are independent copies of the Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} .

The white noise is very rough: $\xi \in C_t^{-\frac{1}{2}-} H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$

Invariant Measures

To study the long time behaviour of the flow, we look for *invariant* measures.

Definition:

Let $\Phi_t(u)$ be the flow of the equation.

A measure ρ is **invariant** if for every F bounded borel function, $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$(*) \quad \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))]d\rho(u) = \int F(u)d\rho(u).$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_0(u))] = \mathbb{E}[F(u)] = \int F(u)d\rho(u)$, $(*) \iff$

$$\int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))]d\rho(u)$$

invariant in time.

Recall the splitting

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ -(1 + \Delta^2)u - u^3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -u_t + \sqrt{2}\xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The equation $\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ -(1 + \Delta^2)u - u^3 \end{pmatrix}$ is Hamiltonian,

$$H(u, u_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |u_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int u^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int u^4.$$

\Rightarrow expect H is conserved.

Liouville's theorem \Rightarrow expect “ $du du_t$ ” invariant

\Rightarrow expect Gibbs measure $\rho = “A^{-1} \exp(-H(u, u_t)) du du_t”$ invariant.

$$\text{Second piece: } \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -u_t + \sqrt{2}\xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is constant in u and the *Orstein - Uhlenbeck* process in u_t :

$$\partial_t u_t = -u_t + \sqrt{2}\xi,$$

which is well known to have the invariant measure

$$\text{“} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int |u_t|^2\right) du_t \text{”}.$$

u constant on the flow \Rightarrow expect “ $F(u) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int |u_t|^2\right) du du_t$ ” invariant.

\Rightarrow expect $\rho = \text{“} A^{-1} \exp(-H(u, u_t)) du du_t \text{”}$ invariant for this flow as well.

\Rightarrow expect $\rho = \text{“} A^{-1} \exp(-H(u, u_t)) du du_t \text{”}$ invariant for Φ .

Mild formulation/variation of constants:

If $S(t)(u_0, u_1)$ solves $\partial_t^2 u + \partial_t u + (1 + \Delta^2)u = 0$,

$$u = S(t)(u_0, u_1) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(0, \xi(s))ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)(0, u^3(s))ds,$$

$$u = Z + \psi + v.$$

$S(t)$ gains 2 derivatives \Rightarrow we expect $\psi \in C_t H^{0-}$.
Stochastic integral $\Rightarrow \psi \in C_t H^{1/2-}$. Moreover,

$$\psi \in C_t C_x^{\frac{1}{2}-}.$$

Equation for v :

$$v = \int_0^t S(t-s)(0, (v + \psi + Z)^3)ds.$$

Banach Fixed point argument \Rightarrow LWP for $v \in H^2$, as long as

Need: $Z \in C_{t,x}$.

Consider the energy

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int |v_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int |\Delta v|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int v^4.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(v) &= \int \partial_t v [\partial_t^2 v + v + \Delta^2 v + v^3] \\ &= \int \partial_t v [v^3 - (v + \psi + Z)^3] \\ &= - \int (\partial_t v)^2 + 3 \int (\partial_t v)(v^2)(\psi + Z) + \text{l.o.t.} \\ &\lesssim 1 + E^{\frac{1}{2}} E^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|Z\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &= 1 + E(\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|Z\|_{L^\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall \Rightarrow Global Existence.

Remark: Differentiating $E + \varepsilon \int (v + \partial_t v)^2$, we get that the solution is uniformly bounded in time (instead of getting exponential growth).

Invariance

How to prove invariance? **Galerkin approximations.**

Let P_N be the projection on $\text{Span}\{e^{inx}, |n| \leq N\}$. Consider

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ -(1 + \Delta^2)u - P_N u^3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -u_t + \sqrt{2}P_N \xi \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_t \end{pmatrix} (0) = \begin{pmatrix} P_N u_0 \\ P_N u_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

This is a finite dimensional system of *SDEs*, for which we expect

$$\rho_N := A_N^{-1} \exp(-H(P_N u, P_N u_t)) du du_t$$

to be invariant.

Finite dimension \Rightarrow

invariance $\iff \exp(-P_N H(u, u_t))$ solves the *Fokker-Plank* equation.

Invariance

$$\text{Invariance} \Rightarrow \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t^N(u))] d\rho_N(u) = \int F(u) d\rho_N(u).$$

We want to show

$$\int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))] d\rho(u) = \int F(u) d\rho(u).$$

Sanity check: is the flow defined for (at least) ρ -a.e. u ?

Have to check $Z = S(t)(u, u_t) \in C_{t,x}$.

The typical (u, u_t) according to ρ is in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$, so $Z \in H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \Rightarrow$ not enough.

However, like for ψ , $Z \in C_t C_x^{\frac{1}{2}-}$ ρ -a.s.. Moreover, $Z(P_N u) \rightarrow Z(u)$ in $C_t C_x^{\frac{1}{2}-}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, from which we can prove

$$\Phi_t^N(P_N u) \rightarrow \Phi_t(u) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Invariance

ρ can be defined as the limit of ρ_N , in the sense that, if F bounded, $M > 0$,

$$(**) \quad \int F(P_M u) d\rho(u) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int F(P_M u) d\rho_N(u).$$

Take F bounded and Lipschitz. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))] d\rho(u) \\ &= \lim_M \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(P_M u))] d\rho(u) + \lim_M \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u)) - F(\Phi_t(P_M u))] d\rho(u) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \lim_M \lim_N \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(P_M u))] d\rho_N(u) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Inv.}}{=} \lim_M \lim_N \int F(P_M u) d\rho_N(u) + \lim_M \lim_N \int \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(P_M u)) - F(\Phi_t^N(P_M u))] d\rho_N(u) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \lim_M \lim_N \int F(P_N P_M u) d\rho_N(u) \stackrel{(**)}{=} \lim_M \int F(P_M u) d\rho(u) \\ &= \int F(u) d\rho(u) \end{aligned}$$

Definition:

An invariant probability measure ρ is **ergodic** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- i) If a set S is invariant, i.e. $\Phi_t(S) \subset S$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\forall t$, then $\rho(S) = 0$ or $\rho(S) = 1$.
- ii) If $\rho_1 \ll \rho$ invariant, then $\rho_1 = \rho$.
- iii) $\nexists \rho_1, \rho_2$ invariant s.t. $\rho_1 \perp \rho_2$ and $\rho_1, \rho_2 \ll \rho$
- iv) If $\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))] = F(u)$ for ρ -a.e. u , then F is constant ρ -a.e..

Heuristically, ergodicity means that we cannot split ρ into “smaller” invariant pieces.

Geometric mixing

Let ρ be ergodic, $\bar{u} \in \text{supp}(\rho)$, and suppose that $\text{supp}(u)$ is not bounded. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and for ρ almost every u , $\Phi_t(u)$ enters and exits B_ε infinitely many times a.s..

Birkhoff's Theorem

ρ is ergodic if and only if for every F bounded measurable,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(\Phi_t(u)) dt \rightarrow \int F(u) d\rho(u)$$

for ρ -a.e. u .

Theorem: T. - in preparation

The Gibbs measure

$$\rho = "A^{-1} \exp(-H(u, u_t)) du du_t"$$

is ergodic for the flow of (SDNLB).

Moreover, if the initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H^2$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T F(\Phi_t(u)) dt \rightarrow \int F(u) d\rho(u) \text{ a.s.}$$

Strong Feller Property

How to prove ergodicity?

Definition: Strong Feller Property

We say that Φ_t has the **Strong Feller Property** if $\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))]$ is a continuous function of u for every F bounded and measurable.

Systems of SDEs: Hörmander condition \Rightarrow Strong Feller.

Stochastic Navier Stokes: 2D: Da Prato-Debussche '02, 3D: Zhu-Zhu '15

SQE in 2d: Rockner-Zhu-Zhu '16, Tsatsoulis-Weber '16,

Hairer-Mattingly '17: very large class of parabolic stochastic equations, including KPZ, SQE in 3d.

Proposition

Suppose that ρ is invariant $\text{supp}(\rho)$ is connected, and ρ satisfies the Strong Feller property. Then ρ is ergodic.

Moreover, if $\rho' \neq \rho$ is ergodic, $\text{supp}(\rho') \cap \text{supp}(\rho) = \emptyset$.

Strong Feller Property

How to prove ergodicity?

Definition: Strong Feller Property

We say that Φ_t has the **Strong Feller Property** if $\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_t(u))]$ is a continuous function of u for every F bounded and measurable.

Systems of SDEs: Hörmander condition \Rightarrow Strong Feller.

Stochastic Navier Stokes: 2D: Da Prato-Debussche '02, 3D: Zhu-Zhu '15

SQE in 2d: Rockner-Zhu-Zhu '16, Tsatsoulis-Weber '16,

Hairer-Mattingly '17: very large class of parabolic stochastic equations, including KPZ, SQE in 3d.

Proposition

Suppose that ρ is invariant $\text{supp}(\rho)$ is connected, and ρ satisfies the Strong Feller property. Then ρ is ergodic.

Moreover, if $\rho' \neq \rho$ is ergodic, $\text{supp}(\rho') \cap \text{supp}(\rho) = \emptyset$.

Hairer - Mattingly '17: Suppose we can solve the control problem

$$\Phi_t(u + w, \xi) = \Phi_t(u, \xi + h(u, w, t, \xi)).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\Phi_t(u + w, \xi)] &= \int \Phi_t(u + w, \xi) d\nu(\xi) \\ &= \int \Phi_t(u, \xi + h) d\nu(\xi) \\ \text{Girsanov} \quad &= \int \Phi_t(u, \xi) \mathcal{E}(h) d\nu(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int \Phi_t(u, \xi) d\nu(\xi) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi_t(u, \xi)]$, continuity in $u \approx \mathbb{E}(h) \rightarrow 1$ as $w \rightarrow 0$.

Problem: Girsanov $\Rightarrow h \in L^2$.

Recall that $\Phi_t(u, \xi) = \psi(\xi) + S(t)(u_0, u_1) + v(u)$, with $v(u) \in H^2$.
If we can solve $\Phi_t(u + w, \xi) = \Phi_t(u, \xi + h(u, w, t, \xi))$, with $h \in L_x^2$, then

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_t(u, \xi + h) = \psi(\xi) + \psi(h) + S(t)(u_0, u_1) + v(u) \\ \Phi_t(u + w, \xi) = \psi(\xi) + S(t)(u_0, u_1) + S(t)(w_0, w_1) + v(u + w) \end{cases}$$

If $h \in L^2$, then $\psi(h) \in H^2$. Therefore

$$S(t)(w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \Rightarrow (w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \times L^2.$$

But a generic difference between initial data according to ρ is in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-} \Rightarrow$ we **cannot** build such h .

Proposition

Φ_t is **not** Strong Feller in the $H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$ topology.

However, if $(w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \times L^2$, there is still hope.

Proposition

If $(w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \times L^2$, we can find $h \in L^2$ s.t.

$$\Phi_t(u, \xi + h) = \Phi_t(u + w, \xi).$$

Moreover, Φ_t is Strong Feller in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$ with the topology given by

$$d(u, u') = \|u - u'\|_{H^2 \times L^2} \vee 1.$$

However, this space (with this topology) does not satisfy any of the usual assumptions: it is disconnected, not separable, and $\text{supp}(\rho) = \emptyset$.

Proposition

Let $\rho_1 \perp \rho_2$ be invariant. Then there exists S such that

$$\rho_1(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = \rho_1(S) = 0, \quad \rho_2(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = \rho_1(S) = 1.$$

However, if $(w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \times L^2$, there is still hope.

Proposition

If $(w_0, w_1) \in H^2 \times L^2$, we can find $h \in L^2$ s.t.

$$\Phi_t(u, \xi + h) = \Phi_t(u + w, \xi).$$

Moreover, Φ_t is Strong Feller in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$ with the topology given by

$$d(u, u') = \|u - u'\|_{H^2 \times L^2} \vee 1.$$

However, this space (with this topology) does not satisfy any of the usual assumptions: it is disconnected, not separable, and $\text{supp}(\rho) = \emptyset$.

Proposition

Let $\rho_1 \perp \rho_2$ be invariant. Then there exists S such that

$$\rho_1(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = \rho_1(S) = 0, \quad \rho_2(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = \rho_1(S) = 1.$$

Projection

The previous remarks suggest that we can throw away everything that belongs to $H^2 \times L^2$. Therefore, we consider the (algebraic) projection

$$\pi : (H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}) \rightarrow (H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}) / (H^2 \times L^2).$$

Recalling the decomposition $\Phi_t(u) = \psi(\xi) + Z(u) + v$, since $v \in H^2$,

$$\pi(\Phi_t(u)) = \pi(\psi(\xi) + Z(u) + v) = \pi(\psi(\xi) + Z(u)).$$

Therefore, if $L_t(u)$ is the flow of the *linear* equation

$$(SBE) \quad \partial_t^2 u + \partial_t u + (1 + \Delta^2)u = \sqrt{2}\xi,$$

$\pi(\Phi_t(u)) = \pi(L_t(u))$. Since L_t is linear and maps $(H^2 \times L^2)$ into itself, there exists $\tilde{L}_t : (H^{\frac{1}{2}-} \times H^{-\frac{3}{2}-}) / (H^2 \times L^2) \hookrightarrow$ such that

$$\tilde{L}_t(\pi(u)) = \pi(L_t(u)) = \pi(\Phi_t(u)).$$

Consider the flow L_t . Since $L_t u = \psi_t(\xi) + S(t)(u_0, u_1)$, and $S(t)$ has exponential decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then L_t admits a *unique* invariant measure μ , and therefore this measure will be ergodic.

Consider the measure $\pi_{\#}\mu$. This is be ergodic for \tilde{L} : if $\pi(S)$ is invariant for \tilde{L} , then $\pi^{-1}(\pi(S))$ will be invariant for L_t , and by ergodicity of L_t ,

$$\pi_{\#}\mu(S) = \pi^{-1}(\pi(S)) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Consider now $\rho_1, \rho_2 \ll \rho$ invariant, $\rho_1 \perp \rho_2$. Take S such that

$$\rho_1(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = 1, \quad \rho_2(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = 0.$$

Since $\rho_i \ll \rho \ll \mu$, $\pi_{\sharp} \rho_i \ll \pi_{\sharp} \mu$, and it will be invariant for $\pi \circ \Phi_t = \tilde{L}$.

$$\text{Ergodicity} \implies \pi_{\sharp} \rho_i = \pi_{\sharp} \mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \rho_1(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = \pi_{\sharp} \rho_1(\pi(S)) = \pi_{\sharp} \mu(\pi(S)) \\ &= \pi_{\sharp} \rho_2(\pi(S)) = \rho_2(S + (H^2 \times L^2)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

contradiction.